

# Nuclear Fusion: Market Landscape, Investment Trends, and Strategic Opportunities

Report generated for  
Hiswai Customer

July 31, 2025

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1 Executive Summary</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4 Competitive Landscape: Incumbents and Disruptors</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>The Promise and Current State of Nuclear Fusion</b>		<b>Major Government-Backed Programs</b>	
Key Milestones and Breakthroughs		ITER and International Collaborations	
Market Potential and Timeline to Commercialization		National Laboratory Initiatives	
<b>Strategic Implications for Stakeholders</b>		<b>Private Fusion Ventures</b>	
Investment Landscape Overview		Venture-Backed Startups	
Critical Success Factors		Corporate-Sponsored Research Programs	
<b>Global Competitive Dynamics</b>		<b>Regional Competitive Analysis</b>	
Regional Leadership Assessment		North American Fusion Ecosystem	
Public-Private Partnership Models		European Research Networks	
<b>2 Nuclear Fusion Market Landscape</b>	<b>11</b>	Asian Fusion Initiatives	
<b>Fusion Technology Fundamentals</b>		<b>Strategic Partnerships and Collaborations</b>	
Magnetic Confinement vs. Inertial Confinement Methods		Public-Private Fusion Alliances	
Alternative Fusion Approaches		Cross-Border Research Initiatives	
<b>Market Size and Growth Projections</b>		<b>5 Business Models and Commercialization Strategies</b>	<b>27</b>
Current Valuation and Forecast to 2050		<b>Emerging Business Models</b>	
Demand Drivers and Market Catalysts		Direct Power Generation	
<b>Fusion Energy Economics</b>		Fusion-as-a-Service	
Cost Structure Analysis		<b>Go-to-Market Strategies</b>	
Comparative Economics Against Alternative Energy Sources		Early Adopter Markets	
<b>Global Market Segmentation</b>		Scaling Approaches	
Geographic Distribution of Fusion Activities		<b>Revenue Generation Mechanisms</b>	
Application-Based Market Segments		Power Purchase Agreements	
<b>3 Technological Innovations Driving Fusion Development</b>	<b>16</b>	Technology Licensing	
<b>Breakthrough Enabling Technologies</b>		<b>Intellectual Property Landscape</b>	
High-Temperature Superconducting Magnets		Patent Analysis	
Advanced Computing and AI Applications		Strategic IP Positioning	
<b>Reactor Design Evolution</b>		<b>6 Investment and Funding Trends</b>	<b>33</b>
Tokamak Advancements		<b>Private Capital Flows</b>	
Stellarator Innovations		Venture Capital Activity	
Alternative Confinement Approaches		Corporate Investment Strategies	
<b>Materials Science Developments</b>		<b>Government Funding Initiatives</b>	
Radiation-Resistant Materials		National Programs	
Tritium Breeding and Fuel Cycle Solutions		International Collaborative Funding	
<b>Power Conversion and Grid Integration</b>		<b>Investment Return Timelines</b>	
Heat Transfer Systems		Short-term vs. Long-term Investment Horizons	
Electricity Generation Efficiency		Risk-Return Profiles	
		<b>Strategic Investor Profiles</b>	
		Technology Conglomerates	
		Energy Majors	
		Sovereign Wealth Funds	

<b>7 Applications and Use Cases Beyond Energy Generation</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>10 Key Risks, Challenges, and Market Uncertainties</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>Industrial Applications</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High-Grade Heat Production</li> <li>Process Industry Applications</li> </ul> <b>Data Center Power Solutions</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>AI Computing Infrastructure</li> <li>Sustainable Data Center Design</li> </ul> <b>Space Propulsion and Exploration</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fusion-Powered Spacecraft</li> <li>Deep Space Mission Enablement</li> </ul> <b>Medical and Scientific Applications</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Radioisotope Production</li> <li>Materials Testing</li> </ul>		<b>Technical Risks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Plasma Stability Challenges</li> <li>Materials Degradation Issues</li> </ul> <b>Financial and Investment Risks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cost Overrun Patterns</li> <li>Extended Commercialization Timelines</li> </ul> <b>Competitive Threats</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Alternative Energy Technology Advancements</li> <li>Geopolitical Competition</li> </ul> <b>Public Perception and Social Acceptance</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nuclear Stigma Effects</li> <li>Public Education Needs</li> </ul>	
<b>8 Regulatory and Policy Environment</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>11 Future Outlook and Market Evolution</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>Current Regulatory Frameworks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nuclear Fusion vs. Fission Regulations</li> <li>International Regulatory Disparities</li> </ul> <b>Policy Support Mechanisms</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tax Incentives and Subsidies</li> <li>Research Grants and Programs</li> </ul> <b>Licensing and Permitting Processes</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Facility Approval Pathways</li> <li>Safety Certification Standards</li> </ul> <b>International Cooperation Frameworks</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Technology Transfer Agreements</li> <li>Global Governance Structures</li> </ul>		<b>Commercialization Timeline Scenarios</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Near-term Milestones (2025-2030)</li> <li>Medium-term Developments (2030-2040)</li> <li>Long-term Market Maturity (2040-2050)</li> </ul> <b>Market Penetration Forecasts</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Early Adoption Markets</li> <li>Mainstream Market Integration</li> </ul> <b>Technology Convergence Opportunities</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>AI and Fusion Synergies</li> <li>Advanced Materials Integration</li> </ul> <b>Global Energy Landscape Transformation</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Impact on Traditional Energy Markets</li> <li>Geopolitical Power Shifts</li> </ul>	
<b>9 Supply Chain and Infrastructure Requirements</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>12 Strategic Recommendations</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>Critical Materials and Components</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rare Earth Elements</li> <li>Specialized Manufacturing Capabilities</li> </ul> <b>Fuel Supply Considerations</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Deuterium Sourcing</li> <li>Tritium Production and Management</li> </ul> <b>Grid Integration Requirements</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Transmission Infrastructure Needs</li> <li>Load Balancing Considerations</li> </ul> <b>Manufacturing Scale-Up Challenges</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Component Production Bottlenecks</li> <li>Quality Control Requirements</li> </ul>		<b>Recommendations for Investors</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Portfolio Diversification Strategies</li> <li>Risk Mitigation Approaches</li> <li>Investment Timing Considerations</li> </ul> <b>Recommendations for Energy Companies</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Strategic Partnership Opportunities</li> <li>Technology Acquisition Pathways</li> <li>Capability Development Priorities</li> </ul> <b>Recommendations for Government Policymakers</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Regulatory Framework Development</li> <li>Public Funding Optimization</li> <li>International Collaboration Initiatives</li> </ul> <b>Recommendations for Technology Developers</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Focus Area Prioritization</li> </ul>	

Commercialization Pathway Planning		
Talent Acquisition Strategies		
<b>13 Appendix</b>	<b>73</b>	
<b>References</b>		
<b>14 About Hiswai</b>	<b>76</b>	
What is Hiswai		<b>Your Personal Web</b>
		<b>How Hiswai Works</b>
		<b>About Hiswai Insights</b>
		How Hiswai Insights Inform Your Strategy
		<b>The Future We Envision</b>



# Executive Summary

## Key Takeaways

- **Commercial Viability Timeline:** Recent breakthroughs have accelerated fusion's path to market, with private companies targeting grid-connected plants by early 2030s, creating a near-term opportunity for strategic positioning in what could become a trillion-dollar market.
- **Investment Surge:** Private funding has reached \$7.1 billion globally with over 45 companies competing in the space, signaling strong market confidence and creating immediate opportunities in the rapidly growing fusion supply chain projected to reach \$7B for first-of-kind plants.
- **Geopolitical Competition:** China is outspending the US with \$1.5B annual investment versus diverse US private-sector approach, creating strategic implications for energy independence, technological leadership, and global influence in the emerging fusion economy.
- **Public-Private Partnership Models:** Different regions are pioneering distinct collaboration approaches, with the UK's integrated STEP program and the US milestone-based funding creating pathways for commercial development while sharing financial risks.
- **Regulatory Framework Development:** Countries establishing fusion-specific regulations (like the UK's Energy Act 2023) will gain competitive advantages by accelerating commercialization pathways and attracting investment, making regulatory engagement a strategic priority.
- **Spillover Value Creation:** Fusion technology is generating immediate commercial applications in advanced computing, superconducting magnets, medical isotopes, and materials science, creating revenue streams and competitive advantages before fusion power plants become operational.

## The Promise and Current State of Nuclear Fusion

Nuclear fusion offers the potential to revolutionize global energy production by providing a clean, virtually limitless power source that could address both climate change and growing energy demands. Unlike traditional nuclear fission, fusion generates energy by combining light atomic nuclei rather than splitting heavy ones, producing minimal radioactive waste and no greenhouse gas emissions. Recent years have witnessed significant breakthroughs in fusion research, with milestones such as the National Ignition Facility's achievement of net energy gain in 2022 and record-setting plasma confinement times in experimental reactors worldwide. These developments, coupled with unprecedented private investment and technological innovations, have transformed fusion from a distant scientific dream into an emerging industry with commercial aspirations.

The physics behind fusion is elegant yet challenging to replicate on Earth. In fusion reactions, typically involving hydrogen isotopes deuterium and tritium, atomic nuclei overcome their natural electromagnetic repulsion and combine under extreme heat and pressure. When these nuclei fuse, they release tremendous energy according to Einstein's famous equation  $E=mc^2$ . The fuel for fusion is abundant—deuterium can be extracted from ordinary seawater, while tritium can be produced within the reactor itself using lithium. Per kilogram, fusion fuel can generate nearly four million times more energy than fossil fuels, offering an energy density unmatched by conventional sources.

In February 2025, France's WEST tokamak reactor set a new world record by maintaining plasma for 1,337 seconds (over 22 minutes) at temperatures exceeding 50 million degrees Celsius. This achievement surpassed the previous record held by China's EAST reactor and demonstrated significant progress in one of fusion's key challenges: sustaining the extreme conditions necessary for reactions to occur. Similarly, the Joint European Torus (JET) in the UK produced 69 megajoules of energy in 2021, showcasing fusion's growing viability.

Technological innovations are accelerating fusion development beyond what was previously thought possible. Advances in high-temperature superconducting magnets have enabled more compact, powerful designs that can achieve stronger magnetic fields in smaller reactors. These magnets, often in the form of specialized tape, can achieve performance comparable to conventional magnets in devices 40 times smaller. Simultaneously, breakthroughs in materials science are addressing the challenge of creating components that can withstand the extreme conditions inside fusion reactors, including temperatures hotter than the sun's core and intense neutron bombardment.

The global race to commercialize fusion has intensified, with nations recognizing its strategic importance. The United States has fostered a vibrant private sector ecosystem with over 50% of fusion startups founded since 1992, while China has committed approximately \$1.5 billion annually to fusion research—double Washington's budget. Other countries including Germany, Japan, South Korea, and the UK have also accelerated their fusion programs, with Germany recently announcing its Fusion 2040 program backed by over €1 billion in funding through 2028.

Private investment in fusion has surged dramatically, with more than \$7 billion flowing into the sector globally. This capital influx has supported the emergence of over 45 private fusion companies pursuing various technological approaches. While traditional tokamak designs remain prominent, alternative concepts such as stellarators, inertial confinement fusion, and magnetized target fusion are gaining traction. Each approach offers different advantages in terms of scalability, cost-effectiveness, and technical feasibility, creating a diverse innovation landscape.

Beyond energy production, fusion technology is generating valuable spillover effects across multiple industries. Advanced computing techniques developed for plasma simulation are finding applications in semiconductor design and manufacturing. High-temperature superconducting magnets created for fusion are being adapted for medical imaging, transportation, and defense applications. Even fusion neutron sources are enabling production of critical medical isotopes for cancer treatment, demonstrating fusion's broader economic potential.

Despite this progress, significant challenges remain on the path to commercial fusion energy. The industry must develop materials capable of withstanding extreme radiation environments, establish reliable tritium breeding and handling systems, and design efficient methods to convert fusion heat into electricity. Additionally, regulatory frameworks specifically tailored to fusion's unique safety profile are still evolving in most countries, though the UK has taken a pioneering role by establishing fusion-specific regulations through its Energy Act 2023.

The timeline for commercial fusion power remains a subject of debate among experts. While some private companies project grid-connected fusion plants by the early 2030s, others anticipate a longer development horizon extending into the 2040s or beyond. What's clear, however, is that fusion has transitioned from a purely scientific endeavor to an emerging industry with growing commercial potential and increasingly concrete pathways to market.

### Key Milestones and Breakthroughs

The fusion landscape has been transformed by several groundbreaking achievements that have accelerated the field's progress. In December 2022, the National Ignition Facility at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory achieved the first-ever net energy gain in a fusion reaction, producing 3.15 megajoules of energy output from a 2.05-megajoule laser pulse input. This milestone was subsequently replicated and improved upon in further experiments. Concurrently, magnetic confinement approaches have made remarkable strides, with China's Experimental Advanced Superconducting Tokamak (EAST) setting a world record by maintaining steady-state plasma for 1,066 seconds at temperatures exceeding 100 million degrees Celsius in early 2024. This record was surpassed shortly after by France's WEST tokamak, which sustained plasma for 1,337 seconds (over 22 minutes). These achievements have been enabled by technological breakthroughs in high-temperature superconducting magnets, advanced computing and AI for plasma modeling, improved laser systems, and sophisticated power electronics—all contributing to making fusion increasingly viable on shorter timescales than previously thought possible.

### Market Potential and Timeline to Commercialization

The fusion sector has evolved from purely scientific research into an emerging industry with substantial commercial potential. Over 45 private fusion companies now exist worldwide, attracting more than \$7 billion in investment—a figure

that has grown by \$1 billion between 2023 and 2024 alone. These companies are pursuing diverse technological approaches and many aim to deliver electricity to the grid before 2035, with some targeting commercial operation as early as 2030. Commonwealth Fusion Systems, backed by \$2 billion in funding, has announced plans to build the world's first grid-scale commercial fusion power plant in Virginia, while Helion Energy recently secured \$425 million to accelerate its fusion technology development. The supply chain for fusion components is also expanding rapidly, with projected spending expected to soar from \$600 million in 2023 to over \$7 billion for first-of-a-kind power plants. Beyond electricity generation, fusion technology is driving innovation in adjacent sectors through spillover effects, including advances in AI systems, high-temperature superconductors, energy storage solutions, advanced manufacturing techniques, and medical isotope production—creating additional revenue streams and technological progress even before fusion power plants become operational.

## Strategic Implications for Stakeholders

The emergence of nuclear fusion as a viable energy source represents a transformative opportunity for global stakeholders across multiple sectors. As fusion technology advances from theoretical research to commercial development, it creates significant strategic implications for investors, energy companies, governments, and technology firms. Understanding these implications is crucial for positioning organizations to capitalize on the potential trillion-dollar market that fusion energy could create, while also addressing the geopolitical and competitive dynamics that are rapidly evolving in this space.

The strategic value of fusion extends far beyond its technical merits. For investors, fusion represents a high-risk, high-reward frontier with potential returns that could dwarf those of conventional energy investments. Venture capital firms and sovereign wealth funds are increasingly viewing fusion as a critical component of future energy portfolios, with investment patterns shifting from purely speculative plays to more structured approaches that hedge against technological uncertainty while maximizing potential upside.

For energy companies, fusion presents both an existential challenge and an unprecedented opportunity. Traditional utilities and oil majors face the prospect of fundamental disruption to their business models, yet those that strategically invest in fusion capabilities now may secure dominant positions in the energy landscape of tomorrow. Several forward-thinking energy conglomerates are already establishing fusion divisions or partnership networks to ensure they remain relevant in a post-carbon economy.

Governments worldwide are recognizing fusion's dual strategic importance—as both an energy security imperative and an industrial policy opportunity. Nations that develop domestic fusion capabilities gain not only energy independence but also potential export advantages in a critical technology sector. This has sparked a new form of technological competition, with countries racing to establish regulatory frameworks, talent pipelines, and industrial ecosystems that can support fusion commercialization.

The geopolitical implications are particularly noteworthy. Unlike fossil fuels, which are geographically concentrated, fusion fuel resources (primarily deuterium and lithium) are widely distributed globally. This could fundamentally reshape energy geopolitics, potentially diminishing the strategic importance of traditional oil and gas regions while creating new centers of energy influence based on technological mastery rather than resource endowment.

For technology firms, particularly those with expertise in advanced materials, superconducting magnets, or precision manufacturing, fusion represents a significant adjacent market opportunity. The supply chain requirements for commercial fusion are substantial and specialized, creating openings for companies that can adapt their capabilities to meet these emerging needs.

Financial institutions are also developing new models to evaluate and finance fusion ventures. The extended timelines and capital intensity of fusion projects necessitate innovative financing structures that can bridge the gap between venture capital timelines and traditional infrastructure investment horizons. Several investment banks have established dedicated fusion financing teams to develop these novel approaches.

Corporate strategy in the fusion sector requires a delicate balance between competitive positioning and collaborative development. While companies naturally seek proprietary advantages, the scale and complexity of fusion challenges often necessitate pre-competitive collaboration on fundamental research, regulatory frameworks, and supply chain development. Strategic leaders are increasingly adopting ecosystem approaches that combine targeted proprietary investments with participation in broader industry consortia.

The talent implications are equally significant. Organizations seeking to capitalize on fusion opportunities face acute competition for specialized expertise in plasma physics, materials science, and fusion engineering. Forward-thinking organizations are developing talent strategies that combine targeted recruitment with internal capability development and academic partnerships to secure the human capital necessary for fusion success.

As fusion moves closer to commercial reality, strategic considerations will increasingly shift from technology development to market deployment. Early adopters of fusion energy—potentially including data centers, industrial facilities with high heat requirements, or remote communities—will shape how the technology scales and evolves. Organizations that develop deep understanding of these initial market segments may gain significant first-mover advantages.

Ultimately, the strategic implications of fusion energy extend far beyond the energy sector itself. The potential for abundant, clean energy could enable new approaches to water desalination, materials processing, transportation, and countless other energy-intensive activities. Organizations that anticipate these second-order effects and position themselves accordingly may capture some of the most significant long-term value from the fusion revolution.

### Investment Landscape Overview

The investment landscape for nuclear fusion has undergone a dramatic transformation in recent years, with private funding exceeding \$7.1 billion globally as of 2024, up \$1 billion from the previous year. This surge in capital reflects growing confidence in fusion's commercial viability, with over 45 private companies now competing to develop the first market-ready fusion power plants. Investment sources have diversified beyond traditional venture capital to include high-net-worth individuals like Bill Gates, Jeff Bezos, and Sam Altman, energy corporations such as Eni and Equinor, and sovereign wealth funds from Singapore and Malaysia. Microsoft's groundbreaking Advance Market Commitment with Helion Energy represents a new model for corporate investment, while the projected growth of the fusion supply chain from \$600 million in 2023 to over \$7 billion for first-of-a-kind power plants signals substantial opportunities for investors across the entire ecosystem.

### Critical Success Factors

For stakeholders to successfully navigate the fusion energy landscape, several critical success factors must be addressed. First, regulatory frameworks must evolve to accommodate fusion's unique risk profile, with the UK already pioneering fusion-specific regulation that distinguishes it from traditional nuclear fission. Second, strategic public-private partnerships will be essential, as evidenced by the US Department of Energy's Milestone-Based Fusion Development Program and the UK's STEP initiative, which create pathways for commercial development while sharing financial risks. Third, supply chain development requires early investment in specialized components, advanced materials, and manufacturing capabilities to avoid bottlenecks as the industry scales. Finally, demand-side mechanisms such as Advance Market Commitments and Contracts for Difference schemes are proving crucial for de-risking private investment by creating certainty around future revenue streams. Companies and nations that effectively address these factors will be best positioned to capture value in the emerging fusion economy.

## Global Competitive Dynamics

The global race to harness nuclear fusion energy has intensified dramatically in recent years, with major powers investing billions to secure technological leadership in what could become the defining energy source of the future. This section examines the competitive landscape across different regions, highlighting how various countries are positioning themselves through distinct approaches to fusion development, and analyzes the emerging models of public-private collaboration that are accelerating progress in this field.

China has emerged as a formidable contender in the fusion race, outspending the United States with an estimated \$1.5 billion annual investment—nearly double Washington's fusion budget. The country's ambitious program includes multiple state-of-the-art research facilities built in record time, including the Experimental Advanced Superconducting Tokamak (EAST), which recently set a world record by maintaining plasma for 1,066 seconds at temperatures exceeding 100 million degrees Celsius. In January 2024, China launched a national industrial consortium to centralize resources and created Fusion Energy Inc., signaling its belief that "controlled nuclear fusion is the only direction for future energy."

The United States has adopted a markedly different approach, leveraging its vibrant private sector ecosystem. Over 50% of fusion companies established since 1992 are American, with significant venture capital backing. The Department



of Energy's Milestone-Based Fusion Development Program has selected eight companies to develop pilot plant designs within the next decade, though critics argue the initial \$46 million allocation falls short of what's needed to build robust manufacturing capabilities and supply chains. The recent breakthrough at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory's National Ignition Facility, which achieved net energy gain in a fusion reaction, demonstrates America's scientific prowess despite lower public funding.

Europe maintains strong institutional expertise through projects like the Joint European Torus (JET) in the UK, which set energy production records before its recent decommissioning. Germany has launched its Fusion 2040 program with over €1 billion committed by 2028, while France hosts ITER, the massive international collaboration involving 35 nations. However, European fusion efforts face criticism for bureaucratic inertia and fragmented leadership, with only 2% of global fusion investment flowing to European companies compared to 75% going to the US.

Japan has accelerated its fusion timeline, now aiming to generate electricity from fusion by the late 2030s rather than 2050. Its Moonshot Research and Development program funds fusion projects, while the J-Fusion consortium brings together Japanese companies to collaborate with startups across different fusion methods. South Korea's Korean Superconducting Tokamak Advanced Research (KSTAR) has set multiple records, and the government is investing in supply chain development with plans for a pilot reactor in the 2040s.

Public-private partnerships have emerged as a crucial model for advancing fusion technology. The UK's Spherical Tokamak for Energy Production (STEP) program represents the world's first government-led initiative to create a commercial fusion reactor for the grid by 2040. In the US, the Department of Energy's INFUSE program connects national laboratories with private fusion companies, while the UK Atomic Energy Authority collaborates with startups like First Light Fusion to accelerate development cycles.

Venture capital has transformed the fusion landscape, with private investment exceeding \$7 billion globally. This influx has enabled companies to pursue diverse technical approaches simultaneously, from magnetic confinement to inertial fusion energy, increasing the odds of breakthrough. Corporate partnerships are also reshaping the field, exemplified by Dominion Energy's collaboration with Commonwealth Fusion Systems to explore fusion deployment in Virginia.

The geopolitical dimensions of fusion development cannot be overlooked. Nations that pioneer commercially viable fusion technology will gain significant advantages in energy independence and global influence. Some experts warn that China's rapid progress could lead to dominance similar to its position in solar and electric vehicle supply chains. This concern has prompted calls in Western nations for more coordinated fusion strategies that balance competition with necessary international collaboration on fundamental research.

As the fusion race accelerates, different regional models are yielding complementary advances. China's centralized, state-driven approach enables rapid scaling and infrastructure development, while America's entrepreneurial ecosystem fosters innovation and diverse technical pathways. Europe's institutional expertise provides valuable scientific foundations, and Japan's industrial coordination offers lessons in supply chain development. The ultimate winners will likely be those who can effectively combine public resources, private capital, and international partnerships while navigating the complex regulatory challenges of bringing fusion to market.

### Regional Leadership Assessment

China has emerged as a formidable contender in the fusion race, outspending the United States with annual commitments of \$1.5 billion—nearly double Washington's fusion budget. Beijing's state-driven approach has yielded impressive results, with the Experimental Advanced Superconducting Tokamak (EAST) setting multiple world records, including maintaining plasma for 1,066 seconds at temperatures exceeding 100 million degrees Celsius. Meanwhile, the United States leverages its vibrant private sector ecosystem, hosting over 50% of global fusion startups and attracting significant venture capital, exemplified by Commonwealth Fusion Systems securing \$2 billion of the \$7.1 billion in private fusion funding worldwide. Europe maintains strong research capabilities through projects like JET in the UK and collaborations on ITER in France, though it faces criticism for fragmented leadership and capturing only 2% of global fusion investment. Other nations making strategic moves include Germany with its €1 billion 'Fusion 2040' program, Japan accelerating its timeline to generate fusion electricity by the 2030s, and South Korea advancing its KSTAR facility with aims for a pilot reactor in the 2040s.

### Public-Private Partnership Models

Different regions are pioneering distinct approaches to public-private collaboration in fusion development. The United States has implemented a milestone-based funding model through the Department of Energy, selecting eight

companies to develop fusion pilot plant designs with initial funding of \$46 million—though critics argue this falls short of what’s needed to compete with China’s state-backed initiatives. In contrast, the UK has established a more integrated approach through its Spherical Tokamak for Energy Production (STEP) program, which aims to deliver grid energy by 2040 while simultaneously developing supply chains and regulatory frameworks. This government-backed initiative serves as an integration point across multiple R&D streams while de-risking financing hurdles for large first-of-kind projects. Emerging models include fusion megafunds that bundle projects into holding companies with different risk tranches, attracting sovereign wealth funds from countries like Malaysia and Singapore. Energy companies including Eni, Equinor, Chevron, and Cenovus are increasingly entering the fusion space, while tech giants like Microsoft have pioneered advance market commitments for fusion power, signing agreements with companies like Helion to deploy future plants—creating demand certainty that helps de-risk private investment.